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**Figure 3. Race/ethnicity and socioeconomic characteristics and anthropogenic L50 nighttime noise in (A) urban block groups ( n=175,373 ); and (B) suburban/rural block groups ( n=38,732 ). The figure displays the fitted values (points) showing the relationship between noise and each of 12 demographic characteristics adjusted for block group population and population density and using a queen neighbor definition and variance-stabilizing weights. Four of the plots [ %<5y, median household income (in thousands)], % unemployed, and % linguistically isolated) use a log scale x-axis as noted on the figure. The LOESS line was only estimated when there were >100 observations.**

**Figure 4. Race/ethnicity and anthropogenic L50 nighttime noise in urban block groups ( n=175,373 ), stratified by multigroup racial/ethnic segregation ( Dm ) for (A) Asians; (B) blacks; (C) Hispanics; and (D) whites. American Indians were excluded due to small numbers in urban areas. The figure displays the LOESS line of the fitted values from 16 (i.e., four categories of segregation and four race/ethnicities) separate spatial error models adjusted for block group population and population density and using a queen neighbor definition and variance-stabilizing weights. The LOESS line was only estimated when there were >100 observations.**
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**Figure 1.** Spatial distribution of (A) anthropogenic L50 nighttime noise; (B) population density; (C) racial residential segregation (urban CBSAs only); (D) non-Hispanic, nonwhite race/ethnicity; (E) poverty; and (F) <high school education (deciles) at the block group-level in the contiguous United States estimated from 2006–2010 American Community Survey data; 2010 shapefiles used to generate these maps downloaded from the NHGIS site: <http://www.nhgis.org>.

**Figure 2.** Race/ethnicity and socioeconomic characteristics and anthropogenic L50 nighttime noise in (A) urban block groups ( n=175,373 ); and (B) suburban/rural block groups ( n=38,732 ). The figure displays the fitted values (points) showing the relationship between noise and each of 12 demographic characteristics adjusted for block group population and population density and using a queen neighbor definition and variance-stabilizing weights. Four of the plots [ %<5y , median household income (in thousands)], % unemployed, and % linguistically isolated) use a log scale x-axis as noted on the figure. The LOESS line was only estimated when there were >100 observations.

**Figure 3.** Race/ethnicity and anthropogenic L50 nighttime noise in urban block groups ( n=175,373 ), stratified by multigroup racial/ethnic segregation ( Dm ) for (A) Asians; (B) blacks; (C) Hispanics; and (D) whites. American Indians were excluded due to small numbers in urban areas. The figure displays the LOESS line of the fitted values from 16 (i.e., four categories of segregation and four race/ethnicities) separate spatial error models adjusted for block group population and population density and using a queen neighbor definition and variance-stabilizing weights. The LOESS line was only estimated when there were >100 observations.
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